

1 ADAM A. LEWIS (CA SBN 88736)  
(alewis@mofo.com)  
2 GRANT L. KIM (Cal. Bar No. 114989)  
(gkim@mofo.com)  
3 ALISON M. TUCHER (Cal. Bar No. 171363)  
(atucher@mofo.com)  
4 BARBARA N. BARATH (Cal. Bar No. 268146)  
(bbarath@mofo.com)  
5 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
6 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
Telephone: (415) 268-7000  
7 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
HYOSUNG (AMERICA), INC. and  
9 NAUTILUS HYOSUNG INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 OAKLAND DIVISION  
13

14 HYOSUNG (AMERICA), INC. and  
15 NAUTILUS HYOSUNG INC.

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 HANTLE USA, INC.,

19 Defendant.

Case No. CV-10-2160- SBA

**STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR  
THE FILING OF SECOND  
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Judge: Hon. Sandra B. Armstrong

20 WHEREAS, by Order of March 4, 2011 (Doc. No. 47), the Court directed that the parties  
21 meet and confer in an effort to reach an agreement concerning the filing of Plaintiffs' proposed  
22 Second Amended Complaint and file, by March 14, 2011, either a stipulation and proposed order  
23 for the filing of a Second Amended Complaint, or alternatively, a motion for leave to file a  
24 Second Amended Complaint in the event the parties are unable to enter into such a stipulation;

25 WHEREAS, on March 11, 2011, the parties submitted a stipulated request to extend this  
26 deadline until March 21, 2011 (Doc. No. 49);  
27  
28

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs previously provided Defendant with a proposed Second Amended Complaint, which adds several new defendants, including Genmega, Inc. and two individuals;

WHEREAS, Defendant previously stated that it would not oppose filing of the Second Amended Complaint in the form previously provided to it by Plaintiffs if the motion to dismiss was denied, subject to resolution of certain privilege issues raised by Defendant;

WHEREAS, Defendant provided Plaintiffs on March 10, 2011, with a privilege log listing documents that were previously produced to the Trustee in the bankruptcy case filed by Tranax Technologies, Inc., which Defendant contends are subject to a privilege claim;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have represented that the Second Amended Complaint does not rely on or refer to any of the documents listed in Defendant's privilege log;

WHEREAS, in view of Plaintiffs' representation, Defendant does not oppose the filing of the Second Amended Complaint in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, but reserves all rights to challenge the sufficiency of the pleadings and the merits of the claims, and all other rights;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed to by and between the parties, pursuant to Local Rule 7-12 and subject to the Court's approval, that Plaintiff may file the Second Amended Complaint in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

In addition to stipulating to the above, I, Grant L. Kim, attest that concurrence in the filing of this Stipulation has been obtained from Matthew Poppe, Counsel for Defendant.

Dated: March 15, 2011

ADAM A. LEWIS  
GRANT L. KIM  
ALISON M. TUCHER  
BARBARA N. BARATH  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/ Grant L. Kim /s/  
Grant L. Kim

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
HYOSUNG (AMERICA), INC.  
NAUTILUS HYOSUNG, INC.

1 Dated: March 15, 2011

ROBERT E. FREITAS  
MATTHEW H. POPPE  
KRISTIN S. CORNUELLE  
JACOB A. SNOW  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE  
LLP

By: /s/ Matthew H. Poppe /s/ [as authorized]  
Matthew H. Poppe

Attorneys for Defendant  
HANTLE, INC. [formerly Hantle USA,  
Inc.]

9 **Order**

10 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: 3/17/11

By: *Sandra B. Armstrong*  
U.S. District Court Judge